

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/517,692	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EROMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SCARLETT GOON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) SCARLETT GOON.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Mark J. Cohen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 December 2009

**Time:** 2:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

58-73 and 75

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 6,764,706 B1 to Heikkilä et al.

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Cohen, Applicants' representative, was contacted as a courtesy to let Applicants know that while they made a 103(c) statement to overcome the rejection of record in the Office Action dated 31 July 2009, a new ground of rejection is still available using the WIPO publication of the applied U.S. Patent.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCARLETT GOON/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)